o: Page 2 of 3

2014-07-17 10:35:05 GMT-05:00 Seward & Kissel LLP From: Weitman, Michae

USDC SDNY

Case 1:12-cr-00223-VM Document 143 Filed 07/18/14 Page 1 of 2

SEWARD & KISSEL LLP

ONE BATTERY PARK PLAZA NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10004

RITA M. GLAVIN PARTNER (212) 574-1309 glavin@sewkis.com TELEPHONE: (212) 574-1200 FACSIMILE: (212) 480-8421 WWW.SEWKIS.COM 901 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001 TELEPHONE: (202) 737-8833 FACSIMILE: (202) 737-5184

July 17, 2014

BY FACSIMILE

Honorable Victor Marrero Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse 500 Pearl Street New York, New York 10007

Re:

Superior of the same

United States v. Johnny Morgan, 12 Cr. 223 (VM)

Dear Judge Marrero:

We write with regards to the government's second request for an extension of time to respond to the defense's June 20, 2014 motion to compel. Ordinarily, I would consent to any such request as a matter of professional courtesy. But under the circumstances, I must oppose this request because I fear additional delays and potential litigation, which could impact the current trial date of November 3.

The government has already requested and received an additional two weeks to respond to the motion to compel. Yet, two days before their opposition is due and nearly four weeks after the motion was filed, the government now seeks an additional week to prepare its response. If the requested extension is granted, the defense would likely need until mid-August to submit its reply due to other professional commitments. (Absent the extension, the defense is prepared to reply on July 25).

Moreover, the government claims that it "does not believe a ruling on the motion to compel in September or even October would implicate the [November] trial date." The defense does not agree. The documents that the defense seeks are relevant not only to prepare for trial, but more importantly, to the defense's still-pending *Daubert* motion and related motion to exclude. We respectfully submit that the Court should not issue any ruling on these motions until the defense obtains the FBI documents relating to the unreliability of LCN DNA testing, reviews the documents with its experts, and submits them to the Court for consideration. But this process cannot begin until the Court first rules on the motion to compel, and if the Court were to deny the motion, the defense may be forced to resort to the *Touhy* process, creating the potential for further pre-trial litigation.

Case 1:12-cr-00223-VM Document 143 Filed 07/18/14 Page 2-of 2

Hon. Victor Marrero July 17, 2014 Page 2

As the Court is aware, Mr. Morgan has been incarcerated as a pretrial detainee since January 20, 2012, and will be detained pending trial. The defense simply cannot afford any further delay of the November trial date.

For these reasons, we respectfully request that the Court deny the government's second request for an extension of time to respond to the defense's motion to compel, filed on

cc: AUSA Amy Garzon (via email)

> The Clerk of Court is directed to enter into the public record of this action the letter above submitted to the Court by

MARRERO, U.S.D.J.